## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

8:17-CR-313

vs.

SHERRI L. ATKINS,

Defendant.

TENTATIVE FINDINGS

The Court has received the revised presentence investigation report and addendum in this case. The defendant has objected to the presentence report and moved for variance. Filing 79.

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The Court will consult and follow the Federal Sentencing Guidelines to the extent permitted and required by *United States* v. *Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005) and subsequent cases. In this regard, the Court gives notice that, unless otherwise ordered, it will:
  - (a) give the advisory Guidelines respectful consideration within the context of each individual case and will filter the Guidelines' advice through the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, but will not afford the Guidelines any particular or "substantial" weight;
  - (b) resolve all factual disputes relevant to sentencing by the greater weight of the evidence and without the aid of a jury;

- (c) impose upon the United States the burden of proof on all Guidelines enhancements;
- (d) impose upon the defendant the burden of proof on all Guidelines mitigators;
- (e) depart from the advisory Guidelines, if appropriate, using pre-Booker departure theory; and
- (f) in cases where a departure using pre-Booker departure theory is not warranted, deviate or vary from the Guidelines when there is a principled reason justifying a sentence different than that called for by application of the advisory Guidelines, again without affording the Guidelines any particular or "substantial" weight.
- 2. The defendant has objected to the presentence report on several grounds. Filing 79. First, the defendant objects to paragraph 107 because it fails to apply a role adjustment pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2 cmt. n.3, for the reason that the loss in this matter greatly exceeds the defendant's personal gain. This objection will be resolved at sentencing, keeping in mind that the defendant bears the burden of proving that she is entitled to a mitigating role adjustment. *United States v. Salazar-Aleman*, 741 F.3d 878, 880 (8th Cir. 2013). The defendant also objects to the amount of restitution. The overall amount of restitution will be determined on the evidence presented at sentencing, remembering that the government bears the burden of proving the restitution amount by a preponderance of the evidence, 18 U.S.C. § 3664(e).

The defendant has also moved for a downward variance based on her personal circumstances and the circumstances of the offense. *See* filing 81. That request will be resolved at sentencing.

- 3. Except to the extent, if any, that the Court has sustained an objection, granted a motion, or reserved an issue for later resolution in the preceding paragraph, the parties are notified that the Court's tentative findings are that the presentence report is correct in all respects.
- 4. If any party wishes to challenge these tentative findings, that party shall, as soon as possible (but in any event no later than three (3) business days before sentencing) file with the Court and serve upon opposing counsel an objection challenging these tentative findings, supported by a brief as to the law and such evidentiary materials as are required, giving due regard to the local rules of practice governing the submission of evidentiary materials. If an evidentiary hearing is requested, such filings should include a statement describing why a hearing is necessary and how long such a hearing would take.
- 5. Absent timely submission of the information required by the preceding paragraph, the Court's tentative findings may become final and the presentence report may be relied upon by the Court without more.
- 6. Unless otherwise ordered, any objection challenging these tentative findings shall be resolved at sentencing.

Dated this 3rd day of April, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

John M. Gerrard Chief United States District Judge